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Introduction

Three-dimensional determination of molecules and molecular assemblies from single crystals by diffraction methods has led to today’s structure-based understanding of the field. However, if the system under investigation is located on a surface, as in many functional materials, three-dimensional structure determination is largely an unsolved problem.

In fact, it is not even known whether molecular fragments at surfaces form well-defined structures or if they adopt disordered conformations. For example, specific metal–surface interactions have been proposed to be essential in stabilizing active site structures in many heterogeneous catalysts, but so far it has not been possible to obtain three-dimensional structures to confirm such interactions. A range of probes (XPS, EXAFS, STM, etc.) are used to characterize surfaces, but they require either model surfaces under high vacuum or very ordered materials, or they yield only partial descriptions.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy would be an attractive method for determination of surface-supported structures if it were not that the detection limit of NMR at conventional conditions is too low to allow for the study of species at surfaces in many modern materials. This problem has in principle been solved with the introduction of dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP) surface enhanced NMR spectroscopy (SENS), which can increase the NMR signal intensity at surfaces by an order of magnitude. It has now been applied to record one- and two-dimensional NMR spectra of many systems. Very recently, the introduction of highly efficient polarizing agents, nonaqueous solvents, and the optimization of sample formulation allows enhancements on the order of 100 to be achieved, which corresponds to a reduction in acquisition times by a factor of 10,000.

Establishing precise quantitative internuclear distances using solid-state NMR methods requires the accurate measurement of the signal decay with respect to moderately long (tens of milliseconds) evolution delays, and is therefore only reliable with high signal-to-noise ratios. Here we determine the three-dimensional structure of an organometallic complex supported on an amorphous silica surface. This is achieved through the implementation of a series of multidimensional NMR correlation experiments providing structural restraints. The result, in combination with EXAFS, is a detailed structure for the surface complex determined with a precision of 0.7 Å. We observe a single well-defined conformation that is folded toward the surface in such a way as to include an interaction between the platinum metal center and the surface oxygen atoms.

ABSTRACT: The spatial arrangement of atoms is directly linked to chemical function. A fundamental challenge in surface chemistry and catalysis relates to the determination of three-dimensional structures with atomic-level precision. Here we determine the three-dimensional structure of an organometallic complex on an amorphous silica surface using solid-state NMR measurements, enabled through a dynamic nuclear polarization surface enhanced NMR spectroscopy approach that induces a 200-fold increase in the NMR sensitivity for the surface species. The result, in combination with EXAFS, is a detailed structure for the surface complex determined with a precision of 0.7 Å. We observe a single well-defined conformation that is folded toward the surface in such a way as to include an interaction between the platinum metal center and the surface oxygen atoms.
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oxygen atoms, thus also illustrating that the surface is not innocent in such hybrid organosilica materials.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**NMR Spectroscopy.** DNP SENS experiments were performed using a solid-state DNP-NMR spectrometer made by Bruker BioSpin. It consists of a wide-bore 9.4 T magnet (1H/15N/29Si = 400 MHz/22.4 MHz/79.5 MHz, 1H/15N/29Si (2π) = 100.7 MHz, 1H/15N/29Si (2π) = 10.6 MHz) with a Bruker Avance III HD spectrometer console, and equipped with a triple resonance 3.2 mm low-temperature CPMAS probe used in the 1H-15N-15N or 1H-29Si-15N configurations with the proper insert.

DNP is achieved by irradiating the sample with microwaves at a frequency of 263 GHz which transfers polarization to the 1H nuclei via the cross-effect.\(^\text{2,22}\) The microwaves are generated by a gyrotron and delivered to the sample by a corrugated waveguide with \(\approx 22\) W of power reaching the sample.\(^\text{31}\) The microwave power was optimized so as to obtain maximum enhancement for each sample. Sapphire rotors (with zirconia caps) were used for optimal microwave penetration. Rotors are sealed with a Teflon insert to prevent loss of solvent during the measurements.

The REDOR DNP SENS data were acquired with a MAS spinning frequency of 8.0 kHz and sample temperature of approximately 110 K. SPINAL64 1H heteronuclear decoupling was used with 100 kHz frequency of 8.0 kHz and sample temperature of approximately 110 K. SPINAL64 1H heteronuclear decoupling was used with 100 kHz

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The surface Pt-complex B studied here (Figure 1) was used as a representative surface site. B belongs to a broad family of metal-based hybrid catalytic materials where metal–surface interactions are thought to be important for function.\(^\text{29,9}\) The precursor A (Figure 1) is an example of a supported ligand, closely related to ionic liquid phases (SILP), which is a class of systems attracting large current interest.\(^\text{57,40}\) A was synthesized via a sol–gel method in the presence of a structure directing agent\(^\text{57}\) to obtain a random distribution of organic units within the hexagonal arrangement of cylindrical pores in the material.\(^\text{57}\) Post modification of A with (CD\(_3\))\(_3\)SiCl (vide infra) and derivatization of the imidazolium unit with Pt(II) yields B, see Supporting Information. Both materials have been synthesized with \(^{15}\text{N}\)-labels at two different positions: A/B-N\(_1\) represents material A/B with \(^{15}\text{N}\)-labeling at N\(_1\), whereas A/B-N\(_2\) is A or B with \(^{15}\text{N}\)-labeling at site N\(_2\). The concentration of peakfit v.2.0 MatLab script available on the MathWorks File Exchange platform under BSD license.

**Computational Modeling under Density Functional Theory.** All DFT modeling was performed with the Amsterdam Density Functional (ADF) software suite, version 2014.10.\(^\text{35,37}\) The experimentally determined structure of precatalyst A was anchored on the realistic amorphous silica surface model suggested previously by Ugliengo et al.\(^\text{36}\) To roughly approximate the degree of surface hydroxylation and the desired Si–Si distance of ca. 4.5 Å, the surface model having 5.4 OH nm\(^{-2}\) was chosen (coordinates provided in S1).

Due to the size of the fragments anchored on the silica surface model, periodic codes were not chosen as it was seen that prohibitively large unit cell volumes (ca. 9000 Å\(^3\)) would be required to ensure no overlap between these fragments in adjacent cells. As such, a discrete silica model cluster was selected having a diameter of roughly 10 Å, to which A was then anchored. All dangling oxygen atoms were terminated with hydrogen atoms, leading to the starting cluster pictured in Figure S12, with the coordinates being provided in the SI. While efforts were made to ensure that the geometry of the individual components (A and TMS) matched with that determined from the experimental NMR data, due to the nature of the amorphous silica surface model, there was a small difference in the relative orientation between A and the TMS fragment.

In all cases, DFT calculations were performed at the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) level of theory using the exchange-correlation functional of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE)\(^\text{39,40}\). Dispersion effects were included by the three parameter correction presented by Grimme and co-workers.\(^\text{41}\) Relativistic effects were included at the scalar level during all computations under the zeroth-order regular approximation (ZORA).\(^\text{42,43}\) Due to the large nature of the cluster, the geometry optimization portion of the calculation used frozen core basis sets (except hydrogen) which were triple-\(\zeta\) in the valence region (TZP) according to the ADF naming scheme. This was specified by setting the parameter “core” equal to “medium” in the input file, which translates into the following: C (1s frozen), O (1s frozen), N (1s frozen), Si (up to 2p frozen), Cl (up to 2p frozen). Effects due to solvation by 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane were included using the conductor-like screening model (COSMO)\(^\text{45,46}\) by using the following string in the “Solvation” block of the input file: “Solv Eps = 8.42 Rad = 3.15”. The final optimized geometry is provided in Figure S13 (coordinates given in separate file of the SI), and a comparison between the final DFT-optimized geometry with that determined experimentally is given in Figure S14.

**Synthesis of the Materials.** All the details regarding materials synthesis are given in the SI.

**Synthesis of the Polarizing Agent.** TEKPol2 was provided by Prof. Paul Tordo and Dr. Olivier Ouari (Aix-Marseille University) and prepared according to the synthesis previously reported.\(^\text{20}\)

**Deconvolution** was performed using the MathWorks File Exchange platform under BSD license.
active surface species is about 0.2 nm$^{-2}$ for the materials studied here.

To obtain a signal-to-noise ratio that is as high as possible and thus precise quantitative information on the sample from solid-state NMR, sample preparation for DNP must be done carefully. Sample formulation was optimized to yield the highest sensitivity in DNP SENS experiments. Samples were prepared by impregnating 15 mg of the powdered material with $17 \mu$L of a 16 mM solution of TEKPol2$^{26}$ in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane (TCE), and then packed into a 3.2 mm sapphire rotor sealed with a Teflon insert and a zirconia cap. TEKPol2 is the best performing polarizing agent reported to date in nonaqueous solvents at 9.4 T and around 110 K.$^{26}$ DNP SENS enhancements are linked to $^1$H spin–lattice relaxation times, and surface passivating groups containing methyl moieties can lead to rapid $^1$H spin–lattice relaxation and therefore poor signal enhancements. The materials used here were thus passivated with deuterated trimethysilyl group (TMS-d$_9$) since deuteration yields long relaxation times and concomitant high enhancements.$^{51}$ Finally, samples were degassed to reduce relaxation due to dissolved O$_2$. With this protocol, the enhancement of the solvent was $\varepsilon_H = 213$ for A and $\varepsilon_H = 200$ for B, which is the highest value obtained so far for an impregnated materials sample, and which enables experiments that were not possible before.

Figure 1 shows the resulting $^{13}$C and $^{29}$Si DNP SENS cross-polarization magic angle spinning (CPMAS) NMR spectra for A-N$_1$. The $^{13}$C NMR spectrum contains sufficiently well-resolved signals to assign the three alkyl carbons, and the TMS groups. TEKPol2 is the best performing polarizing agent reported to date in nonaqueous solvents at 9.4 T and around 110 K.$^{26}$ DNP SENS enhancements are linked to $^1$H spin–lattice relaxation times, and surface passivating groups containing methyl moieties can lead to rapid $^1$H spin–lattice relaxation and therefore poor signal enhancements. The materials used here were thus passivated with deuterated trimethysilyl group (TMS-d$_9$) since deuteration yields long relaxation times and concomitant high enhancements.$^{51}$ Finally, samples were degassed to reduce relaxation due to dissolved O$_2$. With this protocol, the enhancement of the solvent was $\varepsilon_H = 213$ for A and $\varepsilon_H = 200$ for B, which is the highest value obtained so far for an impregnated materials sample, and which enables experiments that were not possible before.

Figure 1. Chemical structure and NMR signal assignments. Left: the chemical structure of material A together with the $^{13}$C and $^{29}$Si CPMAS DNP SENS spectra for A-N$_1$. Right: the same for material B. Symbol * denotes spinning side bands, and § denotes pentachloroethane impurity found in commercial 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane used as the impregnating solvent (see Supporting Information Figure S7 for details). For the $^{29}$Si spectra, the deconvolution of the SiQ$_4$/SiQ$_4'$ peaks is shown, where the component Gaussian peaks are drawn with solid green lines and the sum is drawn with a dashed red line.

Figure 2. Three-dimensional structure determination. Possible 3D structures for the system were generated in silico, as visualized here with a simple “ball and stick” model, by rotating atoms around the 4 axes ($\alpha_1$, $\alpha_3$, $\alpha_4$, and $\alpha_5$) in 15° steps for each of the four selected Si$_{T3}$–Si$_{Q4}$ distances. Analytical REDOR curves were then calculated for each structure and compared to the ensemble of experimental REDOR curves to determine (i) the single 3D structure in best agreement with the experimental data, and (ii) the distribution of conformers that agree with the data to within the estimated experimental error.
or 2) curves yielded distances in agreement with canonical values for the covalent geometry (Figures S9 and S10). The remaining eight C−N, Si−N, and Si−N (with i = 1 or 2) curves provided nontrivial structural information used in the 3D structure determination.

Structure Determination Procedure. The three-dimensional structure of the organometallic complex was determined with a method analogous to that routinely used for NMR protein structure determination, where the covalent geometry is assumed and only the conformational degrees of freedom are varied in order to find the best agreement with the ensemble of experimental constraints. The whole surface-structure determination process is outlined in Figure 2 and detailed in the SI. For surface complexes, the number of conformational degrees of freedom is often relatively limited, and we can envisage a systematic search of all possible conformers. The conformational degrees of freedom are the seven torsion angles (noted as \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \) and \( \alpha_7 \) in Figure 2), and the distance between the SiT3 and SiQ4′ atoms (\( d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4′}} \)). The rotation around \( \alpha_2 \) within the TMS group is set to an arbitrary value since a low barrier to rotation is expected, and hence, a distribution of conformers should be present (as for the methyl groups themselves). Note that due to the nature of the silica surface, the distance \( d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4′}} \) can only take discrete values. The best fit structures determined in this way are shown in Figure 3, along with the 8 corresponding REDOR curves superimposed on the experimental data for \( A \) (top) and \( B \) (bottom). To assess the reliability of the structures, the reproducibility of the experimental data was verified by performing the DNP SENS Si−\(^{15}N\) REDOR experiments for \( A-N_1 \) and \( B-N_1 \) in triplicate. All data were consistent within error, and the ensemble of data was used for the final structure determination.

Since we do not have any experimental NMR constraints on the torsion angle around the \( N_1-C_3 \) axis (\( \alpha_6 \)), this orientation was determined using the Pt−O distance obtained from EXAFS analysis of \( B \) which, depending on the model used, suggests a Pt−O distance of approximately 2.68 Å (Figure S16 and Table S8 of the SI are providing details of the EXAFS data analysis). The structure therefore strongly suggests the presence of stabilizing noncovalent interactions between Pt and the oxygen atom of the trimethylsiloxy group. The analysis of the EXAFS data also provided the Pt−C and Pt−Cl distances which are
Figure 4. Ensemble of conformers for the Pt-complex B. There are 14 structures of B with $d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4}} = 4.50 \text{ Å}$, 25 with $d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4}} = 5.59 \text{ Å}$, and 23 with $d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4}} = 5.83 \text{ Å}$ shown. The RMSD among the ensemble is 0.62 Å for $d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4}} = 4.50 \text{ Å}$, 0.71 Å for $d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4}} = 5.59 \text{ Å}$, and 0.70 Å for $d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4}} = 5.83 \text{ Å}$. The structures are superimposed by aligning $\text{SiT3}$, $\text{SiQ4}$, and $\text{O}$.

consistent with values obtained from the CSD. The same $\alpha_6$ value was used for A for display purposes.

**Structure Verification with a DFT Computational Approach.** A density functional theory (DFT) geometry optimization, starting from the experimentally determined structure of A, finds the experimentally determined structure is largely retained (Figure S14 compares the experimentally determined structure and the DFT optimized one), increasing confidence in the result, with an RMSD between the experimentally determined and the DFT optimized structure of only 0.69 Å (i.e., within our stated uncertainty). Furthermore, a calculation of the chemical shift was performed. The agreement between the calculated and experimental chemical shifts is reasonable.

**Definition of an Ensemble of Conformation within Experimental Error.** Cross-validation was used to estimate the uncertainty on the final structure. Figure 4 shows the ensemble of structures for material B that are within the uncertainty of the measurements. Note that no structures were found for B which are compatible with the shortest $d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4}}$ distance of 3.17 Å. For each of the values of $d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4}}$, the structures remain otherwise qualitatively very similar; i.e., the key features of the structures do not actually change much with variations in $d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4}}$, and so the choice of the silica surface model does not appear to be critically important in determining the structure. As shown in Figure 4, the distribution of possible structures is small. The RMSD (including the non-H atoms in the tether and the two nitrogen atoms) among of the ensemble is 0.62 Å for $d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4}} = 4.50 \text{ Å}$, 0.71 Å for $d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4}} = 5.59 \text{ Å}$, and 0.70 Å for $d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4}} = 5.83 \text{ Å}$, indicating that the structures are well-constrained by the data.

In these structures we observe first that the complex adopts a single well-defined conformation on the surface, and that the flexible tether allows the organic moieties to fold toward the silica surface, suggesting stabilizing interactions between the silica surface and the aromatic groups. The $N_1$ and $N_2$ distances to the surface (defined as the plane perpendicular to the plane spanned by the $\text{Si}_{\text{T3}}-\text{C}_1$ vector) are 3.64 and 3.82 Å in B (whereas the van der Waals radius would be 1.50 Å and the distances in a fully extended conformation perpendicular to the surface would be 4.16 and 6.16 Å).

Importantly, our results do allow for the possibility of a distribution of structures, and yet, interestingly, as seen in Figure 4, for all the different values of $d_{\text{SiT3-SiQ4}}$, the conformation of the organic fragment in B determined experimentally is well-defined and very similar: folded toward the surface, with a structure such that the C–Pt–O interaction is roughly constant.

The structure ensemble has also been investigated for material A and the ensemble of conformers provided in Figure S34. We also found that the organic fragment in A is well-defined.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, using dynamic nuclear polarization surface enhanced multidimensional NMR methods, we have been able to obtain multiple structural constraints that allow us, in combination with EXAFS, to directly determine the three-dimensional structure of a surface species with an average RMSD in the positions of the ligand of 0.71 Å. The result shows a single well-defined structure, which is not significantly disordered. Additionally, the ligand conformation is determined to be folded toward the silica surface, with interactions between the coordinated metal and surface oxygen sites, thus illustrating that the surface is not innocent in such hybrid organosilica materials. This information corroborates several previously published results, which suggested that metal–surface interactions existed and are important to stabilize active species in immobilized catalysts. The approach developed here demonstrates quantitatively the existence of such interactions on an immobilized Pt–NHC surface species used as a prototypical surface site. Surface-structure determination is expected to guide the future design of immobilized catalysts, by directly exploiting interactions with the support.